

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
2 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661
3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
4 **PERKINS COIE LLP**
5 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
7 Telephone: (415) 344-7000
8 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
9 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
10 Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com
11 Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com

12 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a
13 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD
14 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others
15 similarly situated,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 GOOGLE, INC.,

19 Defendant.

CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION TO
SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 79-5(d)
PORTIONS OF AMENDED
DOCUMENTS (DOCUMENT NOS. 137-
139)**

Date: June 11, 2007
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 8
Judge: Honorable James Ware

[PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. 05-03649

41063-0023/LEGAL13278101.1

[41063-0023-000000/13278101_1.DOC]

ORDER

The Court finds, having considered Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's Second Motion To Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) Portions Of Amended Documents Due To Confidentiality Designations By Defendant Google Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents") (Document Nos. 137-139), the Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Response To Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents, and the papers submitted therewith and arguments raised therein, and good cause appearing:

1. That the redactions (i.e., sealing as confidential documents) requested in Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents, in connection with Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiffs' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment ("Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental Memorandum") and Amended Supplemental Declaration of Michele F. Raphael ("Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration"), are consistent with Google's confidentiality designations; and

2. That Google has demonstrated that Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental Memorandum and the Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration are subject to seal in accordance with its confidential designations because of overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the record in these documents.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

The Honorable James Ware
United States District Judge